

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
09/399,192	09/17/1999	JOHN WANKMUELLER	AP31994-0704 1972		
7590 11/25/2003			EXAMINER		
BAKER & BOTTS LLP			BACKER, FIRMIN		
30 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK.	LLER PLAZA NY 101120228	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3621			
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- \$					9			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/399,19	2	WANKMUELLER ET AL.				
-	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Firmin Ba	cker	3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If no - Failu - Any i eame	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no eveneply within the statuod will apply and will ute. cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be ting story minimum of thirty (30) day il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
<i>,</i> —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17							
<i>,</i> —	,—	is action is no						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
-	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election req	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami			_				
10)∐	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority un	dor 35 II S C & 110/s	a) (d) or (f)				
a) 13)□ / s 3 4 14)□ /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for lore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  See the attached detailed Office action for a lift of the priority document is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the priority document is made of a claim for dome and the priority document is made of a claim for dome deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for dome deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for dome deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for dome deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for dome deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for dome deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for dome deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for dome deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is made of a	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rule ist of the certi- estic priority ur- first sentence provisional ap- estic priority ur-	n received. n received in Applicatents have been received 17.2(a)). fied copies not received a 17.5 in the specification of the specification of the 35 U.S.C. § 120 in the 35 U.S.C. § 120 in the 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 in the 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 in the 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 in the specification i	ion No  ed in this National  ed.  e) (to a provisional  r in an Application  ceived.  and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmen								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/399,192

Art Unit: 3621

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 17<sup>th</sup>, 2003 has been entered.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-13, 17-29, 33-45 and 49-50, drawn to "generating identification data,
     providing ATM PIN in a secure transaction", classified in class 705, subclass 72.
  - II. Claim14-16, 30-32 and 46-48, drawn to "method for generating cryptographic key by providing a key generating key", classified in class 705, subclass 50.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Application/Control Number: 09/399,192

Art Unit: 3621

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because they are patentably distinct. The subcombination has separate utility such as generating identification data, providing ATM PIN in a secure transaction and method for generating cryptographic key by providing a key generating key.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Robert Maier on November 21, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Firmin Backer Examiner Art Unit 3621

November 21, 2003